Discrepancies between the isolation of *Salmonella* from mesenteric lymph nodes and the results of serological screening in slaughter pigs
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**Abstract** – Most *Salmonella* control programmes are based on serological testing in the slaughterhouse. However, from a point of view of carcass contamination, it is rather the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in the animal at the time of slaughter that is important. The aim of this cross-sectional study was to investigate the possible discrepancies between the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. in the mesenteric lymph nodes and the results of serological screening. In total, 1821 fattening pigs originating from 60 Belgian farrow-to-finish herds were sampled in the slaughterhouse. The serum samples were analysed using an indirect mix-ELISA for the presence of *Salmonella* antibodies and evaluated at 3 cut-off values namely 10, 20, and 40% Optical Density (OD). All mesenteric lymph node samples were submitted to qualitative *Salmonella* isolation and a representative number of isolates was serotyped. From each herd, 30 animals were screened both serologically and bacteriologically and the herd was considered as seropositive when at least one sample was positive. At the herd level, 83.6% (cut-off OD 40%) to 100.0% (cut-off OD 10%) of the herds from which *Salmonella* had been isolated were evaluated as seropositive. At the individual level, only 34.5% (cut-off OD 40%) to 82.8% (cut-off OD 10%) of the animals from which *Salmonella* had been isolated were seropositive. Overall, a weak agreement was found between bacteriology and serology for *Salmonella* diagnosis. If pig herds are categorised using serological tests in the slaughterhouse, one should be aware of the fact that slaughter pigs can still harbour *Salmonella* spp. in the mesenteric lymph nodes, without being detected in serological tests. The cut-off value used to evaluate a sample as serologically positive and the number of samples per herd are of major importance to classify herds correctly in order to protect human health.
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1. INTRODUCTION

*Salmonella* is one of the most important causes of foodborne illness in humans, with eggs, poultry meat and pork as the major sources [3, 6, 34]. In Denmark [17], Germany [23] and Ireland [25], national control programmes, based on serological testing, are implemented in the pork production chain. Therefore, meat juice samples from a representative number of pigs per herd are collected at the slaughterhouse. All samples are processed using an indirect mix-ELISA, which combines different O-antigens. Such tests are, as generally accepted, a useful tool to determine the prevalence at the herd level [18, 19] and to point out high-prevalence herds [17]. Depending on the prevalence as determined in these tests, herds are classified into different categories [17, 23, 25].

However, with regards to the system of logistic slaughtering, it is the number of *Salmonella* harbouring animals, with *Salmonella* spp. being present in the intestines or the associated lymph nodes that is important with regards to contamination of the carcasses [5]. In many studies, the association between serological and bacteriological results as measured in faecal samples has been calculated at the herd level [9, 11, 16, 25, 28, 33] and at the individual level [10, 28]. The authors agree that the serological test is suitable for screening on a herd basis in control programmes aiming to reduce *Salmonella* prevalence in pork. However, no predictions concerning the *Salmonella* carrier status can be made with certainty based on serological testing, especially not at the individual level.

During transport and lairage, the number of *Salmonella* shedders can be doubled within 2–6 h, as has been shown in a Dutch study [4]. This increase in the number of shedding animals is caused by pigs excreting *Salmonella* spp. already at the herd but also by pigs with reactivated latent infections, harbouring *Salmonella* spp. in the intestines and the gut associated lymph nodes [4]. Because the risk for cross-contamination during transport and lairage is high, individual faecal samples taken at the slaughterhouse could overestimate the herd prevalence. A better estimation of the herd prevalence can be made by determining the number of *Salmonella* infected animals based on isolation of *Salmonella* spp. in the mesenteric lymph nodes [8, 14, 30]. Because most of the existing *Salmonella* control programmes are based on serological diagnosis, it is important to know how many of the *Salmonella* seronegative herds can still deliver *Salmonella* infected animals at the slaughterhouse.

The aim of the present study was to determine how many of the animals that are *Salmonella* culture positive, as obtained by *Salmonella* isolation in the mesenteric lymph nodes collected at the slaughterhouse, are also serologically positive. The discrepancy between the bacteriological and serological status was evaluated at the herd level and at the individual level and was additionally determined for the 5 most-occurring serotypes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Selection of the herds and study population

Sixty farrow-to-finish pig herds were included in this cross-sectional study. All herds were regular suppliers of one of the four slaughterhouses belonging to one cooperative. They were all located in Flanders (Belgium) and had a minimum herd size of 100 sows. From each herd, 30 pigs were identified and sampled from an average slaughterhouse delivery of about 95 pigs. The selection of the pigs was done systematically with randomisation of the first pig. More details about the studied population are described in a previous paper [22].

2.2. Sample collection

The samples were collected at the four slaughterhouses over a time period of
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one year. Blood samples from each study pig were taken at exsanguination. After evisceration, the intestines were individually identified and mesenteric lymph nodes were collected from the ileum. All samples were immediately transported to the laboratory for further processing.

2.3. Sample analyses

2.3.1. Serum samples

The blood samples were centrifuged at 1 400 × g for 10 min. All serum samples were stored at −20 °C until the end of the trial. Then, they were analysed using a commercial indirect mix-ELISA, following the recommendations of the manufacturer (HerdCheck Swine Salmonella Antibody Test Kit, Idexx Laboratories, Inc., Maine, USA). The presence of antibodies against Salmonella in each sample was determined by relating the absorbance value at 650 nm of the unknown to the positive control mean by calculating the Sample-to-Positive (S/P) ratio. S/P values were associated with Optical Density percentages (OD%) by an experimentally determined correlation factor of 2.5, which was based on German and Dutch reference samples and on an international ring trial [31]. OD% can be calculated by the following formula:

\[ OD\% = \left( \frac{S}{P} \right) \times 100 \]

2.3.2. Mesenteric lymph node samples

First, all lymph nodes were immersed for 10 s in 95% ethanol followed by flaming to decontaminate the surface [8, 14]. Ten grams were aseptically transferred to sterile stomacher bags and 90 mL Buffered Peptone Water (CM 509, Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) was added. After homogenisation during 1 min with a stomacher blender, the homogenates were incubated at 37 °C for 16 to 20 h. Following incubation, 100 µL were added to 10 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) broth (CM 669, Oxoid) and 100 µL was spotted onto a Modified Semisolid Rappaport-Vassiliadis agar (MSRV) plate (LAB 150, Lab M, Lancashire, UK). Both media were incubated for 24 h at 42 °C. The MSRV plates were examined for the presence of migration zones. A loopful of the culture edge of the migration zones and of each RV enrichment broth was streaked on a Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate (XLD) agar plate (CM 469, Oxoid). After incubation for 24 h at 37 °C, all XLD plates were examined for the presence of suspected colonies. The collected strains were biochemically tested using Triple Sugar Iron, Indol and Lysine. From an average of 9 randomly selected strains per herd, one Salmonella colony per sample was stored at −20 °C for further identification of the isolate.

2.4. Identification of the isolates

Isolates belonging to serotype Typhimurium were first identified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay using the Salmonella Typhimurium-specific primers MDH 31 and MDH 2 coding for malic acid dehydrogenase [15]. Briefly, the isolates were grown on Plate Count Agar (CM 325, Oxoid) for 24 h at 37 °C under aerobic conditions. One colony was harvested and suspended in 150 µL sterile water and heated for 15 min at 90 °C. The suspensions were centrifuged for 1 min at 11 000 × g and 2 µL of the supernatant was used in the PCR assay. PCR reactions were performed in a reaction mixture (50 µL final volume) containing 5 µL of 10 × PCR buffer (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium), 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Yellow star, Eurogentec), 200 pmol each of dATP, dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP, 1.25 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 50 pmol each of the PCR primers. PCR reactions were performed in a reaction mixture (50 µL final volume) containing 5 µL of 10 × PCR buffer (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium), 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Yellow star, Eurogentec), 200 pmol each of dATP, dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP, 1.25 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, and 50 pmol each of the PCR primers. PCR involved 35 cycles of denaturation (94 °C, 20 s), primer annealing (67 °C, 30 s) and chain extension (72 °C, 30 s). Prior to cycling, the samples were heated at 94 °C for 3 min. The amplified products were detected by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose in 0.5 × Tris-borate-EDTA buffer at 100 V for 40 min.
The gels were stained with ethidium bromide. An UV transilluminator was used for visualisation.

The isolates that tested negative in the PCR assay were serotyped according to the Kauffman-White Scheme [24], which is based on somatic (O or lipopolysaccharide) and flagellar (H) antigens.

2.5. Statistical analyses

Three different cut-off values were considered in the interpretation of the serological results: OD 10%, OD 20% and OD 40%. The first value is recommended by the manufacturer (Idexx Laboratories, Inc., Maine, USA). The value of OD 40% has been experimentally determined as the optimal value for large scale use in the original Danish *Salmonella* surveillance and control programme [17], but this value has recently been decreased to the cut-off value of OD 20% [20]. Values lower than the cut-off value were considered as negative, values equal to or higher than the cut-off value were considered as positive, following the recommendations of the manufacturer (Idexx Laboratories, Inc.).

First, logistic regression was used to model the presence of a bacteriological infection as a function of the continuous OD% value. Next, the OD% value was replaced by a binary variable expressing whether the sample was seronegative or not.

The relative sensitivity of the serological test was estimated by the number of serologically positive samples that was also bacteriologically positive. The relative sensitivity of *Salmonella* isolation in the mesenteric lymph nodes was estimated in a similar way. All sensitivities were investigated at the herd level and at the individual level. For the analysis at the herd level, a herd was considered as positive if at least one sample was found positive. The probability for classifying a herd as serologically positive given the herd was bacteriologically positive was calculated for different sample sizes based on the binomial distribution and assuming independence between the samples of a herd.

Additionally, as a measure of agreement between both diagnostic tests, Cohen kappa coefficients were calculated at the herd level and the individual level, and for the different cut-off values.

The relative sensitivities were also derived for each of the 5 serotypes that were most prevalent. The latter sensitivities were compared with each other using Chi-square tests.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 8.0.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Descriptive results

In total, 1821 pigs from 60 herds were sampled. The descriptive results for the bacteriology and serology using the different cut-off values are given in Table I. From the 492 isolates that were serotyped, 23 different serotypes were found. The 5 most common serotypes were *S*. Typhimurium (33.0%), *S*. Derby (26.0%), *S*. Goldcoast (11.0%), *S*. Panama (7.0%) and *S*. Livingstone (7.0%). Other important serotypes were *S*. London (4.0%), *S*. Rissen (4.0%), *S*. Brandenburg (3.0%) and *S*. Anatum (2.0%).

3.2. Association between bacteriological and serological results

The OR (95% CI) for an animal being *Salmonella* positive in the mesenteric lymph nodes was 1.10 (1.07–1.14) for an increase of the OD with 10%. The OR (95% CI) for being *Salmonella* positive in the mesenteric lymph nodes for OD% above versus below the cut-off value was 2.07 (1.66–2.59), 1.67 (1.38–2.01) and 1.70 (1.37–2.09) for the cut-off values of 10%, 20% and 40%, respectively.

The individual results for the *Salmonella* isolation in the mesenteric lymph nodes and the serological results for the cut-off values
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of OD 10%, 20% and 40% are shown in Tables II, III and IV, respectively. The relative sensitivity (95% C.I.) for the serological test at the individual level was 82.8 (80.6–85.1), 59.7 (56.7–62.6) and 34.5 (31.7–37.5) for the cut-off values of OD 10%, 20% and 40%, respectively. At the herd level, a relative sensitivity (95% C.I.) of 100.0 (93.5–100.0), 98.2 (90.3–100.0) and 83.6 (71.2–92.2) was found for the cut-off values of OD 10%, 20% and 40%, respectively. The relative sensitivity (95% C.I.)

Table I. Descriptive results for Salmonella enterica based on bacteriological isolation in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and serology. In total, 1821 samples were taken from 60 Belgian farrow-to-finish herds during 2001–2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of positive isolates</th>
<th>Serology with different cut-off values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLN</td>
<td>OD&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1066</td>
<td>1348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of positive herds<sup>a</sup>

91.7 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 83.3

% of positive samples per herd (± SD)

57.3 ± 36.73 | 76.8 ± 24.02 | 53.3 ± 31.24 | 29.0 ± 27.82

<sup>a</sup> A herd was defined as positive if at least one sample was found positive.

<sup>b</sup> Optical density: values lower than the cut-off value were considered as negative, values equal to or higher than the cut-off value as positive.

Table II. Two by two table for the Salmonella isolation results in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and the serological test using the cut-off value of OD 10%. In total, 30 pigs from 60 Belgian farrow-to-finish herds were sampled (<i>n</i> = 1821) during 2001–2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serologically negative&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Serologically positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLN&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; negative</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN positive</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>1411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The results of the qualitative Salmonella isolation from the mesenteric lymph nodes. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.

<sup>b</sup> The results of the serological testing using a cut-off value of OD 10%. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.

Table III. Two by two table for the Salmonella isolation results in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and the serological test using the cut-off value of OD 20%. In total, 30 pigs from 60 Belgian farrow-to-finish herds were sampled (<i>n</i> = 1821) during 2001–2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serologically negative&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Serologically positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLN&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; negative</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN positive</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The results of the qualitative Salmonella isolation from the mesenteric lymph nodes. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.

<sup>b</sup> The results of the serological testing using a cut-off value of OD 20%. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.
C.I.) for the *Salmonella* isolation at the individual level was 62.6 (60.0–65.1), 64.2 (61.1–67.2) and 67.3 (63.3–71.2) for the cut-off values of OD 10%, 20% and 40%, respectively. At the herd level, a relative sensitivity (95% C.I.) of 91.7 (81.6–97.2), 93.1 (83.3–98.1) and 93.9 (83.1–98.7) was found for the cut-off values of OD 10%, 20% and 40%, respectively.

The probability of a herd to be correctly classified as serologically positive using different cut-off values as a function of the sample size and assuming independence between different samples of a herd is shown in Figure 1. When analysing 5 serum samples per herd, the probability of classifying a *Salmonella* culture positive herd as seropositive is 87.9%, 98.9% and 100.0% for the cut-off values OD 40%, 20% and 10%, respectively. If 20 serum samples were analysed, the probability of correctly classifying an isolation positive herd as seropositive is 100.0% for the 3 cut-off values.

Because all herds were serologically positive at the cut-off values of OD% 10, no Cohen kappa coefficient between the bacteriological and the serological diagnostic technique could be calculated. The Cohen kappa coefficients at the herd level for the cut-off values 20 and 40 OD% were 0.25 and 0.15, respectively. The Cohen kappa
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**Table IV.** Two by two table for the *Salmonella* isolation results in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and the serological test using the cut-off value of OD 40%. In total, 30 pigs from 60 Belgian farrow-to-finish herds were sampled (n = 1821) during 2001–2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Serologically negative</th>
<th>Serologically positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLN(^a) negative</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN positive</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>1821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The results of the qualitative *Salmonella* isolation from the mesenteric lymph nodes. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.

\(^b\) The results of the serological testing using a cut-off value of OD 40%. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.
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**Table IV.** Two by two table for the *Salmonella* isolation results in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and the serological test using the cut-off value of OD 40%. In total, 30 pigs from 60 Belgian farrow-to-finish herds were sampled (n = 1821) during 2001–2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Serologically negative</th>
<th>Serologically positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLN(^a) negative</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN positive</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>1821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The results of the qualitative *Salmonella* isolation from the mesenteric lymph nodes. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.

\(^b\) The results of the serological testing using a cut-off value of OD 40%. The results are expressed as either positive or negative.
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**Figure 1.** The probability of classifying a herd in the present study population as *Salmonella* positive using serological testing at 3 different cut-off values (OD 10%, 20% and 40%) and for different sample sizes (0–30) given the herd was bacteriologically positive (*Salmonella* isolation in the mesenteric lymph nodes). Independence between samples of a herd was assumed.
coefficients at the individual level were 0.14, 0.12 and 0.09 for the cut-off values of 10, 20 and 40 OD%, respectively.

3.3. Associations between bacteriological and serological results for the five most-occurring serotypes

The relative sensitivity for the serological test used given one of the most-prevalent serotypes being isolated is given in Table V. Significant differences were found between the relative sensitivity for S. Typhimurium or S. Derby and the relative sensitivity for S. Goldcoast, S. Panama or S. Livingstone ($p < 0.05$). The percentages of serologically positive animals from which a serotype not belonging to serogroups B, C1 and D was isolated are shown in Table VI. If using the cut-off value of OD 10%, the percentage of serologically positive animals varied between 73.7 and 100%, depending on the serotype.

4. DISCUSSION

Since pork is a main source for human salmonellosis [6, 29], it is important to reduce the prevalence of Salmonella in pork as much as possible. In different European countries, Salmonella control programmes that monitor the prevalence of Salmonella in pigs and pork have been implemented. Also in Belgium, a Salmonella surveillance programme is underway. Except for Norway and Sweden, where the national programme...
is based on *Salmonella* isolation in faecal and in lymph node samples [26], the existing control programmes are based on serological tests in which antibodies against *Salmonella* are measured. However, when logistic slaughtering is applied, it is the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in a shipment that is important regarding contamination of carcasses [5, 7, 29]. The aim of the present study was therefore to investigate the possible discrepancies between the isolation results of *Salmonella* and the results of serological screening methods.

The reason why we sampled the lymph nodes is that *Salmonella* is often present in the mesenteric lymph nodes in carrier animals [5] and that lymph nodes are the tissues most consistently colonised in infected animals [8]. Although a recent infection during transport or lairage cannot be totally excluded, the presence of *Salmonella* in the mesenteric lymph nodes mostly refers to an infection originating from the pig herd [14, 30]. In the present study, transport lasted on average 1.7 h and the time spent in the lairage was on average 3.1 h. Moreover, because transport of finishing pigs originating from more than one herd together in one truck is not allowed in Belgium and because of thoroughly cleaning and disinfection of the truck before every shipment, the risk for cross-contamination between pigs from different herds during transport is probably low. Although infection of the mesenteric lymph nodes during the present time span cannot be totally ruled out, we think that it has not or only marginally biased our results. To exclude the influence of transport and lairage, pooled pen faecal samples could also have been taken at the herd of origin and a correlation could have been made between the serotypes found in those samples and the serotypes isolated in the mesenteric lymph nodes. However, this was not the aim of the present study and therefore the prevalence based on *Salmonella* culture was estimated based on one sampling per herd.

The risk for a positive *Salmonella* isolation in the mesenteric lymph nodes was in the present study population 1.10 times higher when the OD% was increasing with 10. The OR for being *Salmonella* culture positive for OD% above versus below the cut-off value was slightly higher for the cut-off value of OD 10% than for OD 20% and 40%. The lowest cut-off for serological testing had thus more discriminatory power in detecting the *Salmonella* harbouring pigs in comparison with higher cut-off values.

Depending on the cut-off values used, the number of culture positive herds which were serologically classified as positive varied from 83.6 (cut-off 40%) to 100.0% (cut-off 10%). In the group of animals that were *Salmonella* culture positive, only 34.5% (cut-off 40%) or 82.8% (cut-off 10%) were seropositive. This means that a high percentage of serologically negative animals can still harbour the pathogen and therefore, every shipment must be regarded as suspicious when using the system of logistic slaughtering.

The use of the lowest cut-off value, resulting from the relative sensitivities, was recommended in the present study population in order to increase the probability of classifying herds correctly based on serological testing. However, when using this cut-off value of OD 10%, a higher number of herds were detected as seropositive although the animals were not harbouring the bacteria. Although *Salmonella* was not present in those shipments, a positive serological result at the herd level means that *Salmonella* is or has been present in the herd and the farmer needs to solve the problem.

The low Cohen kappa values found in the present study confirm that the agreement between both diagnostic techniques is only weak. Two major explanations for these discrepancies are possible. First, none of the diagnostic tests has a 100% sensitivity and specificity. The specificity of the ELISA test used was 99.4% as tested in a preliminary screening by the manufacturer (Idexx Inc., Maine, USA, non-published data). As shown in the present study, the sensitivity of the serological test can be influenced by
the serotype causing the infection. The probability of serologically detecting S. Typhimurium or S. Derby, was higher than for S. Goldcoast, S. Panama or S. Livingstone. Similar results were obtained in the study by Van Winsen et al. [33] who concluded that some serovars may not be detected at all or may be detected to a lesser extent in different mix-ELISA currently used. Moreover, according to Nielsen et al. [18], not all pigs do seroconvert. Some serotypes can thus be isolated, without inducing detectable antibodies in the infected swine. The serological test used in the present study should be able to detect all serotypes belonging to serogroups B, C1 and D. However, positive serological results were also found in pigs from which serotypes belonging to other serogroups were isolated. These pigs could have been infected with other serotypes than the one isolated, at the same time or earlier in their life. If a pig was excreting more than one serotype at the same time, we were not able to detect this because only one colony per isolation plate has been serotyped. On the contrary, the range of serotypes able to be detected by the serological test is probably wider than that described. In 25 of the herds, more than one serotype was isolated. If one of those serotypes was a serotype not supposed to be detected by the serological test, the herd can still be classified correctly as positive because of the presence of detectable serotypes in the herd.

Regarding the bacteriology, the sensitivity of Salmonella isolation remains dependent on the media used [1, 32]. Under field conditions, the culture of faecal samples is considered to have a sensitivity below 50% [2]. In a collaborative study [12], it has been shown that the relative sensitivity of MSRV is 96% in naturally contaminated food samples. In mesenteric lymph node samples and in faecal samples, the combination of MSRV (selecting the more motile serotypes) with RV (selecting the non-motile serotypes) had a high relative sensitivity (98.4%), as shown in preliminary experiments [21]. By using mesenteric lymph nodes instead of faecal samples and by combining two different enrichment media, we believe the sensitivity to be sufficient.

A second explanation for the discrepancy is the biological difference between the serological reaction in the animal and the presence of the pathogen. The presence of the bacteria in the lymph nodes can be caused by an infection very early in the pigs' life [27], or by a recent infection. No antibodies will be detected in the serum of these infected animals, because the serological response may no longer be there in the first case [13, 35] or is not yet there in the second case.

When looking at the probability distribution for different sample sizes and for different cut-off values, based on the analysis of 30 samples in the 60 study herds, a minimum sample size of 20 samples per herd would be needed, irrespective of the 3 different cut-off values, in order to classify all culture positive herds as serologically positive. If a cut-off value of OD 10% is used, a minimum sample size of 5 samples per herd would be sufficient to classify a herd correctly using serological tests.

According to the binomial distribution of the probabilities of correctly classifying a herd as serologically positive in function of the sample size, 30 serum samples should be enough for classifying all culture positive herds as serologically positive. This is in contradiction with the relative sensitivities obtained, and is due to the fact that samples of a herd are apparently not independent, as was assumed in the calculation of the sensitivities. As a consequence, the number of serum samples needed to classify Salmonella isolation positive herds as seropositive cannot be calculated based on the binomial distribution but also other factors, such as clustering of samples within a herd, should be taken into account.

The probability distribution in the present study was calculated for a within-herd prevalence of 57.3% (Salmonella isolation) or 76.8, 53.3 and 29.0 for the cut-off values of OD 10%, 20% and 40% (Salmonella serol-
ogy), respectively. If a Salmonella control programme would lead to a reduction of the Salmonella prevalence as a consequence, the minimum sample size to classify herds correctly should be re-estimated.

In conclusion, it can be stated that serological screening methods are useful at the herd level, but in the present population, a low cut-off value and an adequate number of samples is recommended to classify herds correctly. Only a weak agreement was found between the results of both diagnostic procedures. Although a herd can be classified as negative in serological screening programmes, each shipment should be regarded as suspicious with regards to logistic slaughter systems.
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